

TABLE 2A, PART B.

	Agg Direct Outp Impact	Agg Total Outp Impact	Agg Direct Inc Impact	Agg Total Inc Impact	Offset Effect	Social Cost	Saturtn Effect	Popu- lation ^a	Unemploy Rate ^a	Per Cap Inc % U.S. Avg ^a
3.	\$315M	\$661M	\$127M	\$259M	--	--	--	3.82M	3.3	5.34%
4.	\$265M	\$543M	\$213M	\$324M	small neg	--	reg	5.36M	4.2	-5.94%
5.	\$914M	--	\$333M ^b	\$529M ^b	small neg	--	--	3.27M	5.7	39.85%
9.	--	--	\$973M	\$1,953M	--	--	--	7.91M	6.8	28.60%
14.	--	--	\$32M	--	--	--	--	0.38M	7.3	-15.06%
16.	--	--	\$7,300M	\$19,800M	--	--	--	262.76M	5.6	n.a.
17.	--	--	\$115M	--	--	--	--	0.38M	7.3	-15.06%
18.	--	--	\$240M	--	--	--	--	0.34M	5.7	-24.54%
19.	--	--	\$103M	--	--	--	--	0.43M	5.0	-1.51%
20.	--	--	\$238M ^c	\$479M ^c	--	--	--	0.25M	7.2	10.43%
21.	\$17M	\$32.7M	\$5.3M	\$10.1M	--	yes ^d	--	0.08M ^b	3.4	-26.01% ^b
22.	\$690M	\$6M	\$128M ^c	--	-\$1,084M	-\$321M	--	5.08M	4.7	-4.32%
23.	\$3,164M	\$6,421M	\$982M ^c	\$2,188M ^c	+\$200M	--	--	7.73M	4.1	30.85%

									%		
24.	\$352M ^g	\$352M ^g	\$269M ⁿ	\$269M	large neg	yes	state	3.27M		5.5	38.30%
									%		
25.	\$862M	\$1,011M	--	--	-\$161M	yes ^d	reg	9.66M		5.3	1.75%
									%		
26.	\$763M	\$1,372M	\$306M	\$818M	--	--	--	11.80M		5.2	9.51%
									%		
28.	--	--	C\$133M ^c	C\$331M ^c	neutral	no	--	.29M ⁱ	% ⁱ	9.7	% ⁱ 0.00
29.	\$329M	\$728M	\$142M ^c	\$216M ^c	--	--	-- ^d	11.60M	7.6%		8.41%
30.	\$360M	\$799M	--	--	-\$43M	yes ^d	--	31.86M	6.3%		3.81%
31.	\$81M ^j	\$205M ^j	--	--	neutral	--	--	5.03M	5.1%		13.70%
32.	\$1,567M	\$3,309M	\$555M ^k	\$1,227M ^k	small neg	yes ^d	yes	5.03M	5.1%		13.70%
33.	\$699M	\$2,169M	\$425M ^c	\$1,120M ^c	small neg	none	--	5.03M	5.1%		13.70%
35.	\$841M	-\$7M	\$287M	--	-\$1,907M	yes ^d	--	11.80M	5.2%		9.51%

- a. The last year of a study period has been used for Population, Unemployment Rate and Per Capita Income entries (latest available for Population & Per Capita Income pertain to 1996; and for Unemployment Rate pertain to 1997).
- b. Casino Town is a fictitious name to ensure confidentiality; locational data provided by author without divulging identity.
- c. Earnings only.
- d. Not integrated into main study.
- e. Output only
- f. Construction only.
- g. Gross State Product (net output).
- h. Average of two scenarios and inferred from employment multiplier effects.
- i. Population entry is for Windsor. Unemployment Rate and Per Capita Income are assumed to be equivalent to that of Canada as a whole.
- j. Pertains to "Medium Visitation" study for Baltimore Metro location; also output and earnings combined.
- k. Pertains to large scale development scenario (detailed data not available for other scenarios).